Quantile regression is a very powerful tool for financial research and risk modelling, and we believe that it has further applications that can provide significant insights in empirical work in finance. This paper demonstrates its use on a sample of Australian stocks and shows that, while ordinary least squares regression is not effective in capturing the extreme values or the adverse losses evident in return distributions, these are captured by quantile regressions.

Quantile regression as introduced in Koenker and Bassett (1978) is an extension of classical least squares estimation of conditional mean models to the estimation of an ensemble of models for conditional quantile functions. The central special case is the median regression estimator that minimises a sum of absolute errors. The remaining conditional quantile functions are estimated by minimising an asymmetrically weighted sum of absolute errors, where the weights are functions of the quantile of interest. Taken together the ensemble of estimated conditional quantile functions offers a much more complete view of the effect of covariates on the location, scale and shape of the distribution of the response variable.

The quantiles, or percentiles, or occasionally fractiles, refer to the general case of dividing a dataset into parts. Quantile regression seeks to extend these ideas to the estimation of conditional quantile functions, i.e. models in which quantiles of the conditional distribution of the response variable are expressed as functions of observed covariates. In quantile regression, the median estimator minimises the symmetrically weighted sum of absolute errors (where the weight is equal to 0.5) to estimate the conditional median function, and other conditional quantile functions are estimated by minimising an asymmetrically weighted sum of absolute errors, where the weights are functions of the quantile of interest. This makes quantile regression robust to the presence of outliers.
We can define the quantiles through a simple alternative expedient as an optimisation problem. Just as we can define the sample mean as the solution to the problem of minimising a sum of squared residuals, we can define the median as the solution to the problem of minimising a sum of absolute residuals. The symmetry of the piecewise linear absolute value function implies that the minimisation of the sum of absolute residuals must equate the number of positive and negative residuals, thus assuring that there are the same number of observations above and below the median.

The other quantile values can be obtained by minimising a sum of asymmetrically weighted absolute residuals, (giving different weights to positive and negative residuals). Solving

$$\min_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \sum \rho_{\tau}(y_i - \xi)$$ (1)

where $\rho_{\tau}(.)$ is the tilted absolute value function, this gives the $\tau$th sample quantile with its solution. A full discussion of these methods is available in Koenker and Basset (1978) and Koenker and Hallock (2001) or in Koenker's (2005) monograph.

This technique has been used widely in the past decade in many areas of applied econometrics; applications include investigations of wage structure (Buchinsky and Leslie 1997), earnings mobility (Eide and Showalter 1999; Buchinsky and Hunt 1996), and educational attainment (Eide and Showalter 1998). Financial applications include Engle and Manganelli (1999) and Morillo (2000) to the problems of Value at Risk (VaR) and option pricing, respectively. Barnes and Hughes (2002) used quantile regression analysis to study CAPM in their work on the cross section of stock market returns.

**FIGURE 1:** Scatter and quantile regression fit to the return data of stock (QBE Insurance)
Quantile analysis of beta effect

Beta measures the systematic risk of an individual security.

\[ \beta_A = \frac{\text{cov}(r_A, r_M)}{\sigma_M^2} \]  

where:
- \( r_A \) is the return of the asset;
- \( r_M \) is the return of the market;
- \( \sigma_M^2 \) is the variance of the return of the market; and
- \( \text{cov}(r_A, r_M) \) is covariance between the return of the market and the return of the asset.


\[ r_A = r_f + \beta_A(r_M - r_f) + \alpha + \epsilon \]  

where:
- \( r_A \) is the return of the asset;
- \( r_M \) is the return of the market;
- \( r_f \) is the risk-free rate of return;
- \( \alpha \) is the intercept of regression; and
- \( \epsilon \) is the error term.

Given that the CAPM predicts what a particular asset or portfolio’s expected return should be relative to its risk and the market return, the CAPM can also be used to evaluate the performance of active fund managers as Jensen (1968) first suggested.

The lower and upper extremes of the distribution are often not well fitted by OLS. To demonstrate this we make use of quantile regression through which we can run an alternative quantile regression analysis through the desired quantile of the historical return distribution.

We analyse 43 stocks of S&P/ASX 50 for a period from 2006 to 2008. We calculate the beta coefficients using the daily log returns of stocks and market for each year, and coefficients across the distribution are calculated using quantile regressions and across the mean using OLS. The quantiles calculated are those at 0.05, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 0.95, and thus cover the distribution from 5% to 95%.

Figure 1 shows the scatter plots of the daily returns of QBE Insurance versus market returns for OLS regression (red dashed line), and quantile regressions for quantiles= 0.05, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 0.95. This figure shows the regression lines for the OLS and quantile regression methods. It indicates that when the distribution reaches extremes, the market factor behaves differently from that in or around median observations.

Figure 2 shows the beta values across the quantiles of the return distribution for the same stock. It is apparent that the slope of the regression changes across the quantiles and is clearly not constant, as presumed in OLS.

Figure 3 demonstrates how the values of beta vary across quantiles over the years used for this study in the case

---

**FIGURE 2:** Beta values across quantiles and OLS for stock (QBE Insurance)
of a given stock. The varying contours in this area plot show how beta values vary dramatically across quantiles and across each year. The relationship between beta and return is clearly not constant, both across quantiles and over time. A majority of studies of asset pricing and managed fund performance are built on the assumption that betas are constant for the test windows, and that this constant linear relationship can be used as a performance metric. Figure 3 shows that this is not the case when we explore the relationship across the quantiles, even for the same stock, at the same point in time. OLS is built on an assumption of averages, and it involves fitting lines to capture relationships through the means of the covariates.

Similar inferences can be drawn for all the stocks in the sample data for each year. Quantile regression is potentially very useful to predict the lower quantile returns for a given stock; a requirement for the identification of future underperformers or outperformers. It is clear that the relationship between beta and returns is much more complex than inference based on OLS would lead us to believe.

Quantile regression can also be used to compare the coefficients across quantiles, called inter-quantile regression, which compares the magnitude of coefficient of one quantile to the other. Interquantile regression is a good way of further inspecting how the beta effects change from the 95% to 5% quantile levels. We use the three-year data for each stock and analyse the interquantile range of 95% to 5%. Table 1 gives the results, and the shaded

Our results reveal large and sometimes significant differences between returns and beta, both across quantiles and through time. The picture that results from quantile regression analysis of this set of Australian stocks is far more complex than the assumptions inherent in OLS would lead us to believe. This suggests that performance inferences based on OLS are also suspect.

P>|t| values show the statistical significance at a 10% confidence level or better. These tests are discussed in Koenker’s ‘vignette’ on quantile regression in R, available on his website (see www.econ.uiuc.edu/~roger/research/rq/vig.pdf). Basically this general class of tests was proposed in Koenker and Bassett (1982).

Quantile regression estimation procedures are available in a number of packages, including free open source software such as GRETL (see http://gretl.sourceforge.net/) or commercial packages such as STATA.

FIGURE 3: Beta values across quantiles each year

![Beta values across quantiles each year](image-url)
Conclusion
In this paper we have drawn attention to the quantile regression technique, an idea which can be traced back to the 18th Century, but which has been made operational relatively recently by Koenker and Bassett (1978) and popularised in Koenker’s (2005) monograph. Frances Galton’s famous criticism of his statistical colleagues who: ‘limited their inquiries to averages and do not seem to revel in more comprehensive views’, appears also to apply in finance in the extensive literature on testing asset pricing models. Our results reveal large and sometimes significant differences between returns and beta, both across quantiles and through time. The picture that results from quantile regression analysis of this set of Australian stocks is far more complex than the assumptions inherent in OLS would lead us to believe. This suggests that performance inferences based on OLS are also suspect. We believe that there are considerable gains to be made in the further application of quantile regressions to empirical work in finance.

Note
1 We are grateful to an anonymous reviewer for comments on the paper.
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