THE QUEST FOR ALPHA: can artificial neural networks help?
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The application of artificial neural networks (ANN) in finance is a relatively new area of research. We employed ANNs that used both fundamental and technical inputs to predict future prices of widely held Australian stocks and used these predicted prices for stock portfolio selection over a 10-year period (2001–2011). We found that the ANNs generally perform well in predicting the direction of stock price movements. The stock portfolios selected by the ANNs with median accuracy were able to generate positive alpha over the 10-year period. More importantly, we found that a portfolio based on randomly selected network configuration had zero chance of resulting in a significantly negative alpha but a 27 per cent chance of yielding a significantly positive alpha.

An ANN is a mathematical model that is inspired by the structure and function of biological nervous systems, such as the brain, in processing information. The brain continually receives input information from receptors, processes the information, and makes decisions. Like the biological nervous system, the ANN is composed of a large number of highly interconnected processing elements working together to solve specific problems.

An ANN is just like human brains, learn by example.¹

Financial research utilising artificial neural networks is a relatively new area with published research in the field only going back to a little over two decades. Over this period, the majority of the studies have focused on US stock prices and indexes. Very limited research has been undertaken within the Australian context. Among them, Tan (1997) found that — when combined with ANN — statistical autoregressive models produce superior forecasts and profitability in forex trading (AUD/USD) market than when these models are used in isolation. Using seven fundamental indicators, Ellis and Wilson (2005) applied ANN modelling techniques to construct portfolios in the Australian property sector that outperformed both DS Australian Real Estate Index and S&P/ASX Property Index on a risk-adjusted basis. Finally, Vanstone et al. (2010) used four fundamental indicators as inputs to devise a trading rule based on ANN for stock selection in the Australian market. They found that an ANN-based rule produced higher returns, albeit with higher volatility, compared with

Australians have a significant portion of their personal wealth invested in the stock market. A large proportion of this wealth is invested with active fund managers who try to beat the market and earn excess risk-adjusted returns (alpha) by actively selecting stocks to buy and sell. However, there is a plethora of research evidence showing that most active managers underperform the broad market index on a risk-adjusted basis. In Australia, seven out of 10 actively managed retail funds underperformed the market index over both a one- and three-year horizon (Karaban and Maguire 2012). This evidence is consistent with the efficient markets hypothesis, where current prices tend to reflect all available information. Yet the attempt to predict the future course of stock prices and earn excess returns has remained a persistent endeavour for many investors (Malkiel 2011).

Advances in computing power in combination with the widespread availability of historical datasets have provided investors with increased opportunities to test markets for predictable returns. In this paper, we present an artificial neural network (ANN) model that utilises a combination of technical and fundamental input data to predict future prices of widely held Australian stocks and use these predicted prices for stock portfolio selection. We present evidence on whether such portfolios can earn positive alpha for investors.

The application of artificial neural networks (ANN) in finance is a relatively new area of research. We employed ANNs that used both fundamental and technical inputs to predict future prices of widely held Australian stocks and used these predicted prices for stock portfolio selection over a 10-year period (2001–2011). We found that the ANNs generally perform well in predicting the direction of stock price movements. The stock portfolios selected by the ANNs with median accuracy were able to generate positive alpha over the 10-year period. More importantly, we found that a portfolio based on randomly selected network configuration had zero chance of resulting in a significantly negative alpha but a 27 per cent chance of yielding a significantly positive alpha.
a buy-and-hold approach and a filter rule based on the same fundamental variables as inputs. Unlike the above studies, which use either technical or fundamental indicators as inputs to ANN, and we investigate the stock selection performance of neural networks in the Australian market using a range of fundamental and technical indicators simultaneously as inputs.

**Network model**

We used a walk-forward testing approach, which is considered to be the best method for prediction for time series data. It simulates the real-world trading situation where the model is retrained regularly with new data as it becomes available (an implicitly Bayesian approach). The frequent retraining is time consuming but allows the network to adapt to changing market conditions. We employed four different training periods: three, six, 12 and 24 months. For each walk-forward testing (rolling) window, the validation period (containing the data set used for monitoring the error during training) was fixed at six months and the out-of-sample testing period at 12 months. Figure 1 diagrammatically shows the walk-forward testing approach using an example of a 24-month long training period. All neural network input and output data were pre-processed. Several pre-processing algorithms were adopted in order to ensure that the neural network learned quickly and provided better performance. The training and validation process comprises the following steps:

> the training data is presented to the network;
> the network computes outputs;
> the network outputs are compared with the desired outputs and the error is calculated;
> network weights are updated based on the error calculation;
> process repeats until the error reaches a pre-defined level or the maximum number of epochs has occurred.

For this study, we used weekly price data on 20 randomly selected stocks from the S&P/ASX 50 (which comprises the 50 largest and widely held stocks in the Australian market) between January 1997 and December 2011. The network input data consisted of 59 indicators for each of these stocks. Of these, 18 were fundamental indicators (like return on assets, profit margin, sales growth etc.) and 41 were technical indicators (different momentum indicators for price movements and volume such as 20-, 30- and 50-day moving averages, relative strength index etc.). The values for the fundamental indicators were calculated from the data extracted from half-yearly financial reports published by the companies. The technical indicators were available as a weekly data series. All data was obtained from Bloomberg. All analysis was undertaken using the Neural Network toolbox in Matlab® (version R2012a) software program developed by The MathWorks, Inc. The parameter specifications of the neural network configuration is summarised in Table 1.

**FIGURE 1: Walk-forward (rolling) testing window**
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A schematic diagram of the ANN as implemented is shown in Figure 2.

### TABLE 1: Network configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed parameters</th>
<th>Variable parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>Three-layer feed-forward network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Each layer fully connected to its adjacent layers only (no connections between input and output layer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input type</td>
<td>Price OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical indicators only OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fundamental inputs only OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Price + Technical OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Price + Fundamental OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Price + Technical + Fundamental (6 options)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initialisation algorithm</td>
<td>Nguyen-Widrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lookback window</td>
<td>4, 8, 12, 16, 20 periods (5 options)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation period</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden layer size</td>
<td>30, 60, 90, 120, 150 nodes (5 options)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning algorithm</td>
<td>Gradient descent with momentum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training period length</td>
<td>3, 6, 9, 12 months (4 options)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning rate</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum factor</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation function</td>
<td>Hyperbolic tangent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. training epochs</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation stop</td>
<td>50 iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation criteria</td>
<td>Mean square error</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIGURE 2: Schematic diagram of ANN

**INPUT LAYER**
- Number of nodes varies depending on input type
  - Price only — 4 nodes
  - Technical indicators — 37 nodes
  - Fundamentals — 18 nodes
  - Price + technical — 41 nodes
  - Price + Fundamentals — 22 nodes
  - Price + Fund + Tech — 59 nodes

**LOOKBACK WINDOW**
- Number of delays varies
  - 4 periods
  - 8 periods
  - 12 periods
  - 16 periods
  - 20 periods

**HIDDEN LAYER**
- Number of nodes varies
  - 30 nodes
  - 60 nodes
  - 90 nodes
  - 120 nodes
  - 150 nodes

**OUTPUT LAYER**
- Single node
- Price at t + 4 (price in four weeks’ time)

**OTHER NETWORK DETAILS**
1. Feed-forward structure utilised
2. Hyperbolic tangent functions used as transformation function in hidden and output layer
3. Each layer is fully connected to the next layer but no direct connections between input and output layer
4. Bias node also used in both hidden and output layer
5. Learning algorithm — gradient descent with momentum
6. Initialisation algorithm — Nguyen Widrow
Predictive ability
While a network model that correctly predicts stock price movement could be used to achieve superior returns, from a practitioner’s perspective (and depending on the trading system implemented) knowing the precise quantum of the price movement may be less important than correctly predicting the direction of the price movement. For example, few investors would be unhappy with a situation where their network model predicted a +15 per cent price movement and the actual price movement was +5 per cent. While the prediction error was 10 per cent, the trade would still be profitable as the directional movement was accurately predicted. Contrast this with a trading situation where the network model predicted a +2 per cent price move and the actual price movement was -3 per cent. In this case, the prediction error was 5 per cent (far more accurate than the previous example) but the trade was not profitable as the directional movement was incorrectly predicted.

The neural network in our study performed reasonably well at predicting the correct directional movement of stock prices. For all stocks (with one exception), direction of price changes was accurately predicted at least half the time. Though most of the success rates were only marginally above 50 per cent (generally ranging from 50 to 55 per cent) there were better performers, such as 65 per cent. The large number of observations for each stock and portfolio (n = 521 i.e. 521 four-week ahead predictions over the 10-year testing period) denoted that any directional prediction accuracy above 53 per cent was significant at least at the 5 per cent level. Overall, 13 of the 20 stocks achieved directional movement accuracy with statistical significance at the 5 per cent level. Five of the stocks achieved directional movement accuracy with statistical significance at the 0.1 per cent level.

Portfolio strategy
To determine if the ANN model could be used to achieve abnormal returns, the following procedure was adopted. The ANN price predictions were undertaken for each stock. For each four-weekly time-step over the 10-year period, 600 ANN models were run to predict future prices. These 600 simulations were the result of testing six different input types, five lookback window options, five hidden layer size options, and four training period lengths (refer to Table 1 and Figure 2 for further details). For each four-weekly time-step, the ANN simulated price was compared with the real price and the 600 networks specifications were placed in a rank order based on the size of the error. For the purpose of this analysis, we report the results of two network configurations: the most accurate network (i.e. one that produced the minimum error over each 12-month testing period); and the network with median accuracy.

Once the predicted price returns for each stock and time-step were calculated for the two configurations described above, two portfolios were constructed for each case. The first portfolio was a long-only portfolio in which a long position was taken for all stocks with a positive expected return weighted according to the magnitude of their expected price return. For example, a stock with a 10 per cent expected price return was given double the weighting of a stock with a 5 per cent expected price return. All stocks that had an expected negative price return were assigned a portfolio weight of zero. The second portfolio was a ‘long-minus-short’ portfolio. To construct this portfolio, all 20 stocks were weighted according to the absolute value of the magnitude of their expected price return. A long position was taken for all stocks with a positive expected return, while a short position was entered for all stocks with a negative expected return.

The portfolio construction occurred at the beginning of each four-weekly time-step over the 10-year period and stocks were held until the end of the time-step. While the portfolio construction was based upon the expected return generated by the ANN model, the actual return for the portfolios at the end of four weeks was calculated using the actual price data. The process was then repeated for the entire testing period.

Performance measurement
The four-factor model (Carhart, 1997) was used as the performance measurement framework for the ANN models. Excess portfolio returns were regressed against the market, size, value and the momentum factors as:

\[ r_{p,t} = \alpha_p + \beta_{p,1} \times R_{MKT,t} + \beta_{p,2} \times S_{MB,t} + \beta_{p,3} \times H_{ML,t} + \beta_{p,4} \times U_{MD,t} + \epsilon_{p,t} \]

\( t = 1,2, \ldots, T \)

where:

- \( r_{p,t} \) is the monthly return on portfolio \( \rho \) in excess of the 10-year Australian government bond rate in month \( t \)
- \( R_{MKT,t} \) is the excess return on the S&P/ASX 200 index in month \( t \) over the 10-year Australian government bond rate
- \( S_{MB,t} \) is the monthly return on the mimicking size portfolio i.e. excess return of ‘small’ stocks over ‘large’ stocks in month \( t \)
- \( H_{ML,t} \) is the monthly return on the mimicking book-to-market portfolio i.e. ‘value’ stocks over ‘growth’ stocks in month \( t \)
- \( U_{MD,t} \) is the monthly return on the mimicking momentum portfolio i.e. excess returns of recent ‘winner’ over recent ‘loser’ stocks in month \( t \)
achieve maximum predictive capability, and therefore randomly selects a particular network specification for application to all stocks in their investment universe.

FIGURE 3: Frequency distribution of four-factor alphas

Figure 3 presents the distribution of alphas. Out of the 600 portfolios, 529 (88 per cent) achieved positive alphas. The alpha value was significantly positive for 144 portfolios (27 per cent of all portfolios) at the 5 per cent level. The distribution of alphas peaked between 0.4 per cent and 1 per cent. The distribution had a slight left skew but there were very few alphas below -0.4 per cent. Remarkably, none of the negative alphas was statistically significant. This finding is extremely important as it demonstrates that even without any knowledge about the predictive capability of the networks ex ante the practitioner would still have a much higher likelihood of generating positive alpha relative to negative alpha.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is increasingly used in different fields of human endeavour mainly due to its predictive abilities based on pattern recognition and learning. The data-rich environment of stock price movements offers fertile ground for testing these capabilities. The ANN model presented in this paper provides encouraging results for investors. We found that the ANNs generally perform well in predicting the direction of stock price movements. The portfolio selected by the ANNs with median accuracy every one-year testing period was able to generate positive alpha over a 10-year period. More importantly, we found that practitioners can improve the likelihood of generating positive alphas using neural networks even without any ex ante knowledge about their accuracy as many of the network configurations resulted in positive alphas while none resulted in a negative alpha with statistical significance. This is in stark contrast to the findings of the research on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2: Four-factor regression estimates for portfolio returns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Most accurate network</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WML</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *, **, *** indicates statistical significance at 5%, 1% and 0.1% level.

We proxied the SMB return by the return difference between monthly returns of the ASX Small Ordinaries and the S&P/ASX 100 index. Monthly HML data for Australia was obtained from Ken French’s website. Momentum portfolios were constructed using monthly returns data from the CRIF database following Jegadeesh and Titman (1993).

The regression results are reported in Table 2. The long portfolio created using the most accurate ANN produced a monthly alpha of 1.6 per cent while the median ANN achieved a positive alpha of 1.2 per cent. Both these estimates were significant at the 1 per cent level. The alpha estimates for the long-minus-short portfolios were less encouraging. While the most accurate ANN portfolio’s alpha was still significant, albeit at a diminished 1.3 per cent, the alpha dissipated for the median ANN. These results suggest that network predicted the positive price movements more successfully than it predicted the negative price movements. Among the other regression coefficients, the market (RMRF) and the value (HML) factors were significantly related to the returns of the long portfolio created using the most accurate ANN, but none of the coefficients was significant for the median network’s portfolio.

We need to caution the reader here that there is no way of predicting ex ante the accuracy of a particular network and therefore, its ability to result in a positive alpha. In other words, a randomly selected network specification may result in an outcome that is far inferior to that achieved by the two networks in Table 2. In order to gain an understanding of the distribution of alphas generated by the different ANN specifications selected without any ex ante knowledge about their accuracy, the different network parameter combinations were applied uniformly to all stocks over the entire 10-year period. Long portfolios based on expected returns were formed and their returns regressed against the four-factor model resulting in 600 estimates of alpha. This analysis mimics the real-world situation where the practitioner does not have prior knowledge of which network specification to apply in order to achieve maximum predictive capability, and therefore randomly selects a particular network specification for application to all stocks in their investment universe.
mutual fund performance, which show that funds with negative alphas outnumber those with positive alphas. It is also important to note that we have considered only price returns in this study. Total returns inclusive of dividends would certainly be higher; hence the alphas for the portfolios selected by the ANN are also likely to be higher.

Although the portfolios derived using ANN model produced positive alphas in many cases, it remains unclear what kind of pricing inefficiencies or risk exposures the network might be exploiting. In fact, returns for many of the ANN portfolios had no relationship with the known risk factors. At this point, given the ‘black box’ nature of the ANN, it is difficult to offer any explanation beyond the well-known ability of the ANN to capture ‘hidden’ relationships between inputs and outputs. It is not beyond the realms of possibility that ANN’s artificial intelligence is able to detect patterns in stock price movements which are not obvious to human intelligence and commonly dismissed as ‘noise’. We hope that future research in the fields of both asset pricing and artificial intelligence would be able to offer more insight.
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Endnotes
1 There are number of books and articles that explain neural networks from a beginner’s perspective. See, for example, Coolen (1998) or Garson (1998). Many articles are also available on the internet.
2 The full list of fundamental and technical indicators can be obtained from the authors on request.
3 It can be argued that there is usually a delay of few months between the date of the financial statements and the date of their actual release. However, we have not adjusted for such time lags in our study. If we believe markets are largely informationally efficient with many well-informed analysts closely following companies, the public announcement of half-yearly results would be well anticipated by the market in most cases, and even more so for the large companies.
4 Early data (1997–1999) was used to compute the values for the technical indicators.
5 Further information regarding network parameters can be found in Beale et al. 2011.
6 Obviously the rankings of these network configurations changed every time-step based on performance.
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